

ORIGINAL COMPTON CENSUS
RETURNS—THE SHOREHAM
DEANERY

MARY J. DOBSON

The Compton census, one of the most important ecclesiastical enquiries of the seventeenth century, was taken by Bishop Compton of London under the direction of Sheldon, the Archbishop of Canterbury, in 1676. The census was a measure of the strength of catholicism and dissent in England and Wales and as such provides us with a guide to the number of conformists, papists and non-conformists in each parish.¹ An eighteenth-century manuscript copy for the Province of Canterbury exists in the William Salt Library, Stafford (MS. Salt 33) and it was on the basis of this copy that Chalklin published the Compton census figures for the Dioceses of Rochester and Canterbury.² The Salt MS copy for the county of Kent is, however, defective for two reasons: firstly, the returns are not in accordance with the questions originally proposed by Archbishop Sheldon and, secondly, the returns do not include the exempt Deanery of Shoreham, covering over thirty parishes in west Kent.

Archbishop Sheldon requested responses under the following headings:

- (1) 'What number of persons, are there by common account and estimation inhabiting within ye parish?
- (2) What number of popish recusants or persons suspected of such recusancy are there resident among the inhabitants aforesaid?
- (3) What number of other dissenters are there in ye parish of what

¹The history of the Compton census is discussed in detail in T. Richards, 'The Religious Census of 1676: An Inquiry into its Historical Value, Mainly in Reference to Wales,' *Cymmrodorion Society Suppl.* (1927).

²C. W. Chalklin, 'The Compton Census of 1676: the Dioceses of Canterbury and Rochester,' *Kent Records, Seventeenth Century Miscellany*, xvii (1960), 153–173. Although original returns for the Diocese of Canterbury are still extant (Lambeth MS. 639) Chalklin preferred to use the more complete Salt MS.

sect soever, who either obstinately refuse or wholly absent themselves from the communion of ye Church of England at such times as by law they are required?'³

The returns of the Salt MS. copy are, however, listed under the three headings conformists, papists and non-conformists, thus substantially altering the context of the first of Sheldon's questions. This has raised several problems for demographic and ecclesiastical historians: we do not know whether the local cleric stated the number of conformists in his parish rather than the number of inhabitants, or whether this was subsequently calculated by the compiler of the Salt MS. by subtracting the number of papists and non-conformists from that of the inhabitants; likewise, confusion has arisen over the age, sex and family distribution of the enumerated persons: do they represent total *inhabitants*, total number of conformist, non-conformist and papist *families*, or total number of *adults*, both male and female? It is only by searching for original returns that such questions can be answered.

The recent discovery in Lambeth Palace Library of the original Compton census returns for the Deanery of Shoreham⁴ was thus both exciting and important. On the one hand, these returns allow an insight into the exact interpretation of the 1676 census by the local ministers and thus strengthen our understanding of its demographic and ecclesiastical relevance and, on the other hand, we can now fill in the missing gap in the Kent returns and hence increase the significance of Chalklin's earlier comment that 'no other return relating to the population of seventeenth century Kent covers as much of the county as the census'.⁵

Of the thirty-three parish returns, twenty-nine ministers give figures for the number of *inhabitants*, as originally requested by Archbishop Sheldon, rather than the number of conformists as suggested in the transcript at Stafford. The ministers only of the parishes of Meopham, Darenth, Otford and East Peckham give the number of communicants. The return for Meopham, however, is ambiguous: the minister records that there are 'about 300 communicants' but in response to the question on papists writes 'none among the *inhabitants* aforesaid'.⁶ Clearly, there was some confusion amongst individual ministers concerning the first of Sheldon's questions and although these Kent returns suggest that 'inhabitants' rather than 'conformists' was the

³ Crayford returns, Lambeth MS. VPIC/9.

⁴ Lambeth MS. VPIC/9.

⁵ Chalklin, *op. cit.*, 173, fn.

⁶ My italics.

most general response, there were some deviations⁷ The compiler of the Salt MS. copy obviously attempted to create a uniformity not present in the original returns.⁸

The Shoreham Deanery returns do provide us with an idea of the age and sex structure represented in the census, both unknown variables in the transcript. Twelve parishes state that the figures represent inhabitants *over the age of sixteen years* and several indicate that both *male and female* are included. Furthermore, it is adults, both male and female, who are represented in the returns where the names are also given. This evidence is important in confirming or refuting previous assumptions made about the census' population coverage⁹ and in providing us with a definite idea of the age and sex of enumerated inhabitants in the thirty-three parishes of the Shoreham Deanery. Again, however, one must be cautious in accepting this as a common yardstick; the fact that Archbishop Sheldon did not state whether he wished children and females to be included would have left the enquiries open to individual interpretation.

We must now turn to the results of the 1676 census for the Shoreham Deanery and thus complete the pattern of population distribution and dissent for the county of Kent. These returns are tabulated in Appendices 1 and 2. Chalklin has calculated the total population of Kent, excluding the Shoreham Deanery, to be 138,733 or approximately 140,000 persons in 1676. He adds a figure of 10,000 to account for the 'missing' parishes and, thus, arrives at an overall total

⁷ See for example D. P. Dymond, 'Suffolk and the Compton Census of 1676', *Suff. Rev.*, iii (1966), 103-118. Dymond shows that the original Suffolk returns more frequently gave the number of communicants. Also Anon, 'The Compton Census—Peterborough', *Local Pop. Studies*, x (1973), 71-4, which indicates that incumbents sometimes returned the number of inhabitants, sometimes the number of communicants and sometimes the number of families.

⁸ For many parishes a simple addition of the three columns in the Salt MS. copy gives a round number. This suggests that the original returns stated the approximate number of inhabitants and that the compiler of the MS. copy converted the figures to conformists by subtraction. A typical example is the original return for Sevenoaks which states 'about 900 inhabitants, 8 papists and about 20 non-conformists': this, if it had appeared in the Salt MS., would read: '872 conformists, 8 papists, 20 non-conformists'. In the Deanery of Shoreham 7 of the returns give the total number of inhabitants in units of a hundred, and another 15 in units of ten.

⁹ See for example J. Patten, 'Population Distribution in Norfolk and Suffolk during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries', *Trans. Inst. Brit. Geogs.*, lxxv (1975) 58-9; M. J. Dobson (née Schove) 'The Demographic Geography of Pre-Industrial Kent: Three Populations or One?' Unpublished undergraduate thesis, Oxford University 1976; and C. Chalklin *op. cit.*, 155. Miss A. Whiteman, of Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford, in her forthcoming book on the Compton census, believes that enumerated persons are adults over the age of sixteen years.

TABLE 1
POPULATION TOTALS FOR THE DEANERY OF SHOREHAM, KENT, 1641-1801

Parish	1641	1664	1671	1676	1717	1758	1788	1801	Per cent change 1676- 1801
(1) North Downs									
Darent	—	257	252	198	216	238	266	407	106
Downe	—	153	148	183	—	214	238	217	19
Eynsford	—	360	383	365	—	499	—	841	130
Farningham	—	149	144	166	162	238	238	397	139
Halsted	86	103	108	80	95	67	105	145	81
Knockholt	—	185	185	158	190	104	166	257	63
Meopham	—	468	500	498	504	537	537	748	50
Orpington	—	279	288	325	274	475	499	693	113
Shoreham	369	409	391	398	—	770	475	828	108
Stansted	—	185	198	216	—	—	—	249	15
Wrotham	—	—	855	765	1260	1980	—	1989	160
Bexley	—	612	648	702	—	1093	950	1441	105
Hayes	—	216	203	166	—	119	276	382	130
Keston	—	117	104	133	68	143	105	183	38
St. Mary Cray	—	351	392	299	—	499	523	672	125
Ifield	—	—	—	48	32	43	38	58	21

(2) Low Weald

Chevening	259	446	459	432	293	637	157	756	75
Igham	—	342	365	564	—	285	—	709	26
Otford	226	239	311	166	—	304	—	497	199
Sevenoaks	1125	1436	1337	1494	1350	1425	2138	2640	77
Sundridge	485	513	477	332	504	475	—	715	115
East Farleigh	—	293	324	349	315	309	428	642	84
Hever	372	—	—	327	270	295	314	187	—43
East Malling	—	500	675	631	585	713	931	1302	106
East Peckham	—	—	548	581	—	950	713	1327	128
Penshurst	654	—	—	697	450	755	855	1128	62
Chiddingstone	574	—	—	601	491	475	489	910	51
Hunton	—	—	185	219	270	304	314	583	166

(3) Thame-side

Cliffe	—	—	468	362	—	380	380	525	45
Crayford	—	338	333	315	450	532	855	1210	284
Gillingham	—	—	1323	498	1575	1378	2375	4135	730
Grayne	—	—	126	83	—	109	—	191	130
Northfleet	—	468	351	465	—	770	285	1910	311

Per cent change
1676-1801

Total 1801

Total 1676

North Downs
Low Weald
Thameside9507
11396
7971102
78
363

1641 Protestation Returns House of Lords; 1664 Hearth Tax K.A.O. Q/R Th.; 1671 Hearth Tax P.R.O. E 179/129/746; 1717 Wakes Visitation Lamb MS 1115; 1758 Seekers Visitation Lamb MS 1134; 1788 Moores Visitation Lamb VG 3/1; 1801 National Census. The following multipliers have been used to calculate population figures: 1641 = 3.32; 1664, 1671 and 1717 = 4.5; 1676 = 1.66; 1758 and 1788 = 4.75. For detailed discussion of these multipliers see M. J. Dobson, 'The Population of Essex 1600-1800' (forthcoming).

of 150,000.¹⁰ On the basis of the original returns we can now calculate the population of the Deanery of Shoreham more precisely to be 12,815.¹¹ Chalklin emphasizes that west Kent was more densely populated than east Kent computing averages of 100 people per square mile for the Diocese of Rochester and 70 people per square mile for the Diocese of Canterbury. The average population for the Deanery of Shoreham is 72.3 persons per square mile, a figure lower than that for the rest of the Diocese of Rochester. The area, however, includes parishes situated on the North Downs, the low Weald and the Thames, and regional population densities are calculated at 66, 93 and 58 persons per square mile, respectively.¹² The parish with the highest density is Sevenoaks (140 persons per square mile) while the lowest is the Isle of Grain (with only 17 persons per square mile). The figures from the 1676 census can be compared with other contemporary sources of population, as set out in Table 1.¹³

These returns also allow us to complete the picture of the 'geography of dissent' in seventeenth-century Kent.¹⁴ There are 46 recorded papists in this area representing 0.6 per cent of the total population and 174 non-conformists or 2.2 per cent of the total.¹⁵ In all parishes in the Shoreham Deanery, with the exception of Wrotham, over 90 per cent of the population are conformists and averages for the North Downs, the low Weald and Thames parishes are 96 per cent, 97 per cent and 99 per cent, respectively. This suggests a pattern of negligible dissent, but the results may be taken as a clearer guide to the spread rather than the numerical strength of non-conformity.¹⁶ The unreliability of the latter is illustrated by comparing the returns of Hayes and Wrotham. The minister for Wrotham followed the exact wording of Archbishop Sheldon and listed those inhabitants who were papish recusants,

¹⁰ Chalklin, *op. cit.*, 172. Chalklin assumes that the returns represent male and female adults over the age of sixteen years and that there were about forty children for every six adults, a figure which can be verified using contemporary and model life tables.

¹¹ The 1676 returns for the parish of Brasted have been found amongst the Churchwardens presentments in Lambeth Palace Library and if we include these figures we arrive at a total population for the Shoreham Deanery of 12,931.

¹² Alternatively one can calculate the number of acres per head of population which result in figures of 12.5 for the Thameside parishes, 10.2 for the North Downs, and 6.9 for the more densely populated low Weald parishes. These results can be compared with those for the remainder of Kent in J. Thirsk (ed.), *The Agrarian history of England and Wales*, iv, (Cambridge 1967), 410.

¹³ It is hoped to publish seventeenth- and eighteenth-century population figures for the whole of Kent in a future article.

¹⁴ See M. J. Dobson (née Schove) *op. cit.*, and Chalklin, *op. cit.*

¹⁵ The Wrotham returns do not distinguish between papists and nonconformists. Figures for these two groups have, therefore, been based on the ratio for the remaining 32 parishes (approximately four times as many nonconformists as papists).

¹⁶ Chalklin, *op. cit.*; 158.

ORIGINAL COMPTON CENSUS RETURNS

papishly affected and obstinately refused themselves from Holy Communion with the result that over 15 per cent of the population of Wrotham fall into these categories. The minister for Hayes, on the other hand, gave a figure of 0 for papists and 1 for non-conformists (i.e. only 1 per cent of the total population); he adds, however, that 'for dissenters fro ye Church of England we have too many in this practice, but as to ye profession of it we have none save one woman. . . .'. In other words, it is important to distinguish between 'formal' non-conformity (the Hayes example) and 'informal' non-conformity (which probably explains the higher figures for Wrotham) and it is this difference which may account for certain anomalies in the census.

The value of these original returns for the demographer and historian has been briefly discussed. They highlight the importance of this document for calculating the population of England in the late seventeenth century and are of particular interest to the Kent local historian. In addition, these returns help us to interpret the true meaning of the Compton census and to clarify certain points of confusion which have resulted from using the Salt MS. copy alone. Finally, the returns of two parishes are of exceptional interest to genealogists.¹⁷ The names of all the adult inhabitants of Wrotham and Stansted have been listed by the ministers and these are set out in Appendix 2.

APPENDIX 1

THE COMPTON CENSUS RETURNS FOR THE DEANERY OF SHOREHAM, 1676

Parish	Inhabitants over 16 years	Papists	Non- conformists	Additional information
Bexley	423	0	1	
Chevening	260	0	3*	*Anabaptists
Chiddingstone	362	0	11*	*11 Anabaptists over 16 years and 7 of ye children under that age
Cliffe	218	0	5	
Crayford	190	0	6	
Darenth	119	0	1*	*James Anslow only
Downe	110	0	0	
Eynesford	220	0	6	
East Farleigh	210	0	3	
Farningham	100*	0	0	*Both sexes
Gillingham	300	0	0	
Grayne	50	0	0	

¹⁷ Another detailed Kent return is the parish of Goodnestone-next-Wingham; this is discussed in P. Laslett, *The World we Have Lost*, (New York 1965), 64.

MARY J. DOBSON

Halsted	48	0	1	
Hayes	100	0	1*	*That for dissenters fro ye Church of England we have too many in this practice but as to ye profession of it we have none save one woman (formerly living in London) now dwelling with us her name Goodwife Kempsall
Hever	197	2	5*	*3 independents, 1 Anabaptist, 1 we know not
Hunton	132	0	6*	*one family anabaptist
Ifield	29	0	0	
Ightham	340	2	0	
Keston	80	0	0	
Knockholt	95	0	0	
East Malling	380*	0	0	*Three hundred and four score and some few over
Meopham	300*	0**	1	*Communicants **Among the inhabitants aforesaid
Northfleet	280	0	5*	*Tho Humfryes, Elizabeth his wife, Mr. Vealey alias Bayley of ye James family, the wife of Ralph Goff, the wife of Sam Andrews
Orpington	196	5	8	
Otford	100	0	0*	*No total dissenters but some 3 or 4 who have not of a long time received ye Holy Communion
East Peckham	350	14*	6**	*Tho. Whettenhall Esq. and Anglissa his wife, George Baker, Dorothy Rogers, Eliz: Parkinson, Eliz: Goodwin, His servants: John Parkinson and his wife: Will ^m Bruminge, Benet Wid. Henry Godfrey Sen and his wife. Will Waller and his wife. **Lorkin and his wife, Will Day, William Huggins, Rob Godfrey and his wife
Penshurst	420*	0	2	*Communicants
St. Mary Cray	180	0	2	
Sevenoaks	900	8	20	
Shoreham	240	0	9	
Stansted	130*	0	0	*[See Appendix 2]
Sundridge	200	0	12*	*and most of them women
Wrotham	461		71*	*Papish recusants or papishly affected obstinate refusing ye Holy Communion [see Appendix 2]
Brasted	70	0	10	

ORIGINAL COMPTON CENSUS RETURNS

APPENDIX 2

THE NAMES OF THE INHABITANTS OF WROTHAM, 1676

Sir Joh: Rayney Bar ^{tt}	William Hasleden	Joan Terry
Dame Hellen his wife	and his wife	Sarah Terry
Ann Long	Tho: Caberly	Mathew Hutchford
Eliz: Newman	and his wife	his wife
Eliz: Brockwell	Joh: Gregory	Tho: Assiter
Jane Lorkin	Katherin his wife	Joh: Baker
Tho: Deane	Joh: Staple	his wife
Henry Stale	Jane his wife	Dorothy Baker
Albina his wife	Henry Parker	Rich: Mernott
Rich: Bond	and his wife	his wife
James Harman	Mary Parker	Joan Terry Wid
Robert Baker	Thomas Parker	Joan her daughter
Isaack Tomlyn	Robert Ware	Reginald Terry
Ffrances his wife	and his wife	Tho: May
Henry Sexton	John Ware	his wife
Rich: Crips	Robert Ware Jun	Rich Wood
Eliz: Topsfeild	Joan Ware	Alice his wife
Tho: Topsfeild	Joh: Tunbridg	Rich: Wood Jun
Ann his wife	Wyatt Tunbridg	Susan Brookes
Robert Cooke	Tho Bookham	Ann Masters
Joh: Bristow	Edward Hills	Michael Dean
Margaret his wife	Judith his wife	Tho Hills
Tho: Britter	Edward Goldsmith	his wife
Dinniss his wife	and his wife	Joh: Hills
Robert Bright Sen	Tho Alckyn	George Richardson
Robert Bright Jun	Susan his wife	his wife
Susan Goodyer	Joh Alckyn	Jonas Ellom
William Ffuller	Ruth his wife	Josiah Stymson
Ann his wife	Nicholas Parrott	William Porter
Joh: Barr	Susan his wife	his wife
Susan his wife	William Tomlyn	Martha Wyboarn
Samuell Wood	Susan Tomlyn	Joh: Owffeild
Catherin his wife	William King	his wife
Tho Bowman	Robert Carpenter	Rich Owffeild
Eliz his wife	Martha his wife	Eliz Chowning
William Knill	Judith Carpenter	Thomas Ellyott
Georg. Knill	Lawrence Pawley	Robert Pearce
Nicholas Skinner	Mary his wife	his wife
Tho: Lorkin	Joane Hanwood	Tho Bright
Jane his wife	Luke Chester	his wife
Dorothy Lorkin	his wife	Charles Wyboarn
Samuell Harris	William Eastland	his wife
and his wife	his wife	Tho Smith
William Parker	Joh: Sexton	his wife
Hannah his wife	his wife	Tho James
Joh: Seddock	Tho: Goodwyn	his wife
Dorothy his wife	his wife	William Turner
Steven Martyn	Robert Terry	his wife
and his wife	Joan his wife	Joh: Staley

MARY J. DOBSON

Henry Stiles
 his wife
 Wid Eastland
 William Hills
 Hellen his wife
 James Terry
 his wife
 Mark Richardson
 Eliz: Hills so call'd
 but not baptized
 Robert Hubble
 Jane his wife
 Jane Bassett
 Nicholas Hubble
 Richard Hubble
 Daniel Stimson
 his wife
 George Burgess
 his wife
 Tho: Tomlyn
 his wife
 Roger Tomlyn
 Georg. Tomlyn
 Nicholas Hart
 Susan Iford
 Mary Ray
 John Wybourn
 his wife
 William Hollis
 Thomas Wybourn
 Ann Ffletcher
 Henry Miller
 his wife
 Wid Topsfeild
 Henry Millham
 his wife
 William Coulter
 Mark Austin
 his wife
 Tho Hinge
 his wife
 Tho Hinge Jun
 Joh: Pawley
 his wife
 William East
 Eliza Robinson
 Sarah Barber
 Tho: Dallender
 his wife
 Joseph Palmer
 Martha Wybourne
 Tho Jarrett
 his wife

Widd Skinner
 William Sergeant
 his wife
 Joh: Styles
 and his wife
 Joh: Styles
 Tho: Styles
 Robert Styles
 Anne Styles
 Sarah Styles
 Joh: Hinge
 Tho: Austin
 his wife
 Rich Austin
 Eliz Austin
 William Rogers
 his wife
 Tho: Wooden
 his wife
 Edward Wooden
 Tho Wooden Jun
 Jane Wooden
 Eliz Wooden
 Tho Crouch
 his wife
 Mary Crouch
 . . . Bowman
 his wife
 Joh: Beal
 his wife
 Joh: Brand
 his wife
 William Terry Sen
 William Terry Jun
 his wife
 Mary Terry
 Joh: Buss
 Ann Hayes
 Tho: Charlwood
 Eliz his wife
 Peter Clark
 Emlyn White
 William Eves
 his wife
 John Marshall
 Eliz his sister
 William Ffeilder
 John Ffeilder
 his wife
 Hellen Ffeilder
 Robert Egleston
 Nicholas Baker
 James Brand

Hellen Brand
 Rich: Brand
 Mary his wife
 Mrs Peckham
 William Ffuller
 Ann Nicholson
 Mary Hayward
 Henry Johnson
 his wife
 Widd Hubble
 Parnell Bunting
 Widd Sargeant
 John Sargeant
 Mary Sargeant
 Nicholas Hubble Sen
 and his wife
 Nicholas Hubble Jun
 his wife
 Henry Hubble
 Mark Hubble Jun
 and his wife
 William Baker
 Eliz Smith
 Rich Smith
 his wife
 John Wayle
 Mary Smith
 William Baldwyn Sen
 his wife
 William Baldwyn Jun
 Mary Baldwyn
 William Ellyott
 his wife
 Robert Berry
 his wife
 Tho: Lorkin
 his wife
 Widd Baldwyn
 Ann Baldwyn
 William Sexton
 Susan Sexton
 William Page
 Thomasin Sexton
 Robert Sexton
 his wife
 Tho: Juill
 Mary Juill
 Tho: Ruming
 his wife
 Widd Godden
 Joh: Loppin
 Rich: Wood
 Mary Camill

ORIGINAL COMPTON CENSUS RETURNS

Margaret Hollsansby	William Hodsall	Joh: Wood
Robert Edmonds	his wife	his wife
his wife	Judith Bredger	William Terry
Adrian Woodward	John Hodsall	his wife
his wife	William Crowhurst	Robert Harris
William Ridbry	his wife	Edward Burgess
his wife	William Hart	his wife
Alexander Peen	his wife	Dyoniss Stevens
his wife	Bartholomew Asheden	William Saunders
Mary Russell	his wife	his wife
Tho Newman	Joh: Wheeler	Eliz Day
his wife	his wife	William Gillbourn
Robert Page	William Wheeler	Gent
Eliz Ffrench	Joh: Porman Jun	Steven Chilman
William Dane	his wife	his wife
his wife	Eliz Ffivrash	Georg Hubble
Daniel Tooth	Georg Keeble	Georg Porman
his wife	his wife	his wife
Widd Mercer	Joh: Gamon	Thomasin Porman
John Yopp	his wife	Robert Baldwyn
William Hills	Joh: Wells	his wife
John Duck	Tho Loock	William Baldwyn
his wife	his wife	Tho Peach
William Croft	Rich: Ffloud	his wife
William Wheeler	his wife	Tho Smith
William Gamon	Joh: Porman Sen	his wife
Eliz Burgess	his wife	Rich Eastland
Sarah Allen	Jacob Porman	Katherin Eastland
Henry Thornton	George Carter	William Ffenn Sen
his wife	his wife	his wife
Tho: Wybourn	Rich Ray	William Ffenn Jun
his wife	Joh: Harman	his wife
Tho: Everest	Eliz Gamon	Tho: Jarrett
his wife	William Ffurner	his wife
Mark Hills	his wife	Alice Jarrett
his wife	William Ffurner Jun	Edward Costen
Joh: Austin	Eliz Cogger	his wife
his wife	Ann Ffurner	Walter Harman
William Juill	Reginald Hayward	his wife
his wife	his wife	Tho: Hodshan
Joh: Gallett	Edward Richardson	his wife
his wife	James Godden	Tho Tomlyn
Ffrancis Wood	Wid Stevens	his wife
Thomas Baldwyn	Margaret Stevens	Maxwell Hodsall
his wife	Tho: Burgess	his wife
Eliz: Turner	Rivers Gallett	William Eversfeild
Solomon Newman	Ann Knight	his wife
his wife	Ann Wood	Henry Ffever
Tho Newman	Henry Wood	his wife
William Baldwyn	his wife	Rich Ffever
Robert Baldwyn	James Wood	Henry Bark
Ann Smallan	Eliz Batchelour	his wife
		Tho: Ray

MARY J. DOBSON

William Baldwin
Joh: Williams Vicar

Thom Woodin
John Wyborn

PAPISH RECUSANTS OR PAPISHLY AFFECTED OBSTINATE
REFUSING OF YE HOLY COMMUNION

Tho Britton
Robert Cook
John Bristow
Samuell Hawes
William Parker
Henry Parker
Wyatt Tunbridg
Henry Stales
Jane Ffarman
Joh: Baker
his wife
William Eastland
his wife
Tho: Goodwyn
Robert Terry
Mathew Hutchford
William King
Tho Alckyn
his wife
Joh: Alckyn
his wife
Joh: Pawley
his wife
James Terry
William Coneter
Henry Millums
Joh Yopp
Tho: Hills
his wife
his Sonn
John Hills
Joh: Staley
Ffrancis Ffarminger
Tho Juill
John Baldwin
Tho Baldwin

Joh Clark
William Crowhurst
his wife
George Keeble
his wife
John Styles Sen
Ann Styles his daughter
Nicholas Hubble
his wife
Nicholas Hubble Jun
his wife
Joh: Porman Sen
his wife
Joh: Porman Jun
his wife
Henry Healer
his wife
Dan: Tooth
his wife
William Baldwin
his wife
Joh: Boal
his wife
Roger Bowman
his wife
William Hasledon
his wife
Rich: Crips
Tho Bowman
Joh: Burr
Tho: Tom . . .
His wife
Tho . . .
his . . .
Susan ye wife of Stephen Martyn

THE NAMES OF THE INHABITANTS OF STANSTED

Ian Pegler Rector
his wife Lydia
John Rithe
John Lightfoot
Mary Wood
Elizabeth Turner
Robert Beverly
his wife Susanna

Thomas Lane
his wife Tomsing
Thomas Lane ye younger
Frances Everafter
his wife Elizab:
Elizabeth Skinner
Henry Lane
his wife Johanna

Henry Martin
his wife Ann
James Launce
his wife Dorritie
Mary Henfield
Susanna Young
Richard Groves
his wife Elizabeth

ORIGINAL COMPTON CENSUS RETURNS

George Hills	John Churt	George Gipson ye younger
his wife Frances	his wife Dennise	Dorritie Gipson
Richard Launce	John Churt ye younger	Robert Lenham Labourer
Christopher Holland	John Skinner	his wife Sarah
Richard Woodin	his wife June	Rich: Brund
his wife Susanna	Thomas Whitehead	his wife Ann
Robert Hills	John Hawkins	Henry Salmon
Gilbord Fielder	his wife Elizab.	his wife Elizabeth
Edward Eastleton	John Martin	Tho Patnum
Alice Lightfoot	his wife Alce	his wife Marget
Thomas Churt	Tho: Kettle	Tho Skudder
The widow Fooks	his wife Eliz.	his wife Johanna
The widow Lenham	George Kettle	Will Skudder
James Wooldham	Tho Kettle ye younger	Tho Skudder ye younger
his wife Elizab:	Will Bowman	John Skudder
Will Baker	his wife Johanna	Mary Skudder
The widow Baker	Tho Fenn	June Skudder
Tho: Miller	his wife Eliz.	John Williams
his wife Purnell	The widow Lehnem	Will Bennit
William Miller	Anthony Woodin	Johanna Hills
Anthony Onyly	his wife Eliz	Mrs Hodsooll
his wife Ann	Robert Hunt	Margat Hodsooll
Rich Barber	Dan: Sutton	Ann Grateck
his wife Johanne	Will Martin	Will Hodsooll
Jolius Onyley	his wife Grace	George Hills
his wife Elinor	Tho Martin	Roberts Hills
The widow Wels	his wife Mary	Ellinor Averett
the widow Hall	Will Martin ye younger	Robert Lenham
Tho Christopher	Roger Carpenter	his wife Joh . . .
his wife Dennise	his wife Johanna	James Tomson . . .
Harry Beal	Robert Carpenter	his wife June
his wife Elizabeth	Ann Carpenter	Thomas Bayhurst
The widow Adams	Thom: Watson	
Ann Adams	his wife Mary	
Frances Adams	Edward Lane	
his wife Ann	his wife Ann	
Robert Adams	George Gipson ye elder	

There are none of this parish either popish or schismatick recusants but hath communi . . . att the Church of England.

Ian Pegler

Church } Wardens }	Thomas Watson James Walsham
-----------------------	--------------------------------

Kent Archaeological Society is a registered charity number 223382
© Kent Archaeological Society 9th August 2014